

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_   F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,428		02/19/2000	Todd M. Spencer	10991108-1	1394
22879	7590	06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLET	HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY DINH, DU				
	,	04 E. HARMONY R			
INTELLEC	TUAL PR	OPERTY ADMINIS	STRATION	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COL	LINS, CO	O 80527-2400		2153	1
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	, 4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			L/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	P			
	09/507,428	SPENCER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung Dinh	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	March 2004.					
·— ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 19,24,25,29,31 and 35 is/are rejecte 7) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,24,26-28,30,32-34 and 36 is/ 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. red. /are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·		04(4)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/507,428 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

#### DETAILED ACTION

It is noted that Applicant stated that an original copy of page 16 of the specification is attached to the response filed 3/10/04 (paper #10). However, the attachment is not found with the reponse. The amendment to page 16 of the specification has not been entered.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/19/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the 102(e) rejections.

Applicant argued that Hao does not teach 'verify' that the local application and remote application are synchronized. The argument is not persuasive because Hao specifically disloses maintaining index of application windows for 'consistent system control' (col.3 lines 54-55, col.4 lines 55-57) and ensure consistency among the local and remote applications before processing subsequent event to modify an application (col.8 lines 44). 'Ensuring consistency' prior to permit further modification to the application implies that the applications are verified to be synchronized prior to permitting the further modification.

Application/Control Number: 09/507,428 Page 3

Art Unit: 2153

Claims 19, 24, 25, 29, 31 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as stated in the prior office action (paper #9).

In view of Applicant's invocation of 35 U.S.C 103(c), the 103(e) rejections of claims 20-21, 24, 26-28, 30, 32-34 and 36 are withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20-21, 24, 26-28, 30, 32-34 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/507,428

Art Unit: 2153

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Dinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM - 4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached at (703) 305-4792.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

# Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

#### Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

## or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Dung Dinh

Primary Examiner

May 25, 2004